

The ten axioms

Five govern addition, four govern scalar multiplication, and one ties the scalar field to the vectors. Nothing here mentions arrows, coordinates or dimension — that is the point of the list.

ADDITION5

- 1

Closure

$$\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{V}$$

for all $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{V}$

Addition may not leave the set. The most frequently violated axiom in practice — a set can look like a vector space and fail here alone, which is what disqualifies most of the non-examples.
- 2

Commutativity

$$\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{v} + \mathbf{u}$$

for all $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{V}$

Order does not matter. Worth noticing this is assumed rather than derived — **matrix multiplication** shows what an operation without it looks like.
- 3

Associativity

$$(\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v}) + \mathbf{w} = \mathbf{u} + (\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{w})$$

for all $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} \in \mathcal{V}$

Grouping does not matter, so a sum of many vectors needs no parentheses at all. This is what makes **linear combinations** well defined as written.
- 4

Zero vector

$$\exists \mathbf{0} \in \mathcal{V} : \mathbf{v} + \mathbf{0} = \mathbf{v}$$

one such element, for every $\mathbf{v}$

An additive identity. The axiom asserts existence only — uniqueness is a consequence, proved in two lines by assuming two zeros and adding them to each other.
- 5

Additive inverse

$$\forall \mathbf{v} \exists -\mathbf{v} : \mathbf{v} + (-\mathbf{v}) = \mathbf{0}$$

one inverse per element

Every vector can be undone. Together with the four above this makes $\mathcal{V}$ an abelian group under addition — the scalar axioms are what turn a group into a vector space.

SCALAR MULTIPLICATION4

- 6

Closure

$$c\mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{V}$$

for all scalars c and $\mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{V}$

Scaling may not leave the set either. Checking this and closure under addition is the whole test for whether a subset is a **subspace** — the other eight are inherited.
- 7

Associativity of scalars

$$c(d\mathbf{v}) = (cd)\mathbf{v}$$

for all scalars c, d

Scaling twice equals scaling once by the product. The two multiplications are different operations — cd happens in the field, $c(d\mathbf{v})$ happens in $\mathcal{V}$ — and the axiom is the claim that they agree.
- 8

Distributivity over vectors

$$c(\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v}) = c\mathbf{u} + c\mathbf{v}$$

for all scalars c

Scaling spreads over a sum of vectors. This and the next axiom are exactly what **linearity** demands of a transformation — the definition is these two conditions and nothing more.
- 9

Distributivity over scalars

$$(c + d)\mathbf{v} = c\mathbf{v} + d\mathbf{v}$$

for all scalars c, d

The mirror of the axiom above, spreading over a sum of scalars instead. Both are needed and neither implies the other.
- 10

Multiplicative identity

$$1\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{v}$$

1 the identity of the scalar field

The one that looks redundant and is not. Define scaling so that every $c\mathbf{v}$ equals $\mathbf{0}$ and the other nine axioms all hold — this axiom is the only thing ruling that out, and it is what ties $\mathcal{V}$ to its field of scalars.

TYING THE TWO TOGETHER1

A set satisfying all ten is a vector space whatever its elements are — polynomials, matrices, functions, sequences. Everything proved from these applies to all of them at once, which is the whole return on stating them abstractly.