

Spectral properties at a glance

Nine operations, each linked to the section that derives it. The column worth watching is whether the eigenvectors survive — most operations move the eigenvalues while leaving the directions fixed, and the two exceptions are the informative ones.

UNCONDITIONAL

4

iv

Powers A has eigenvalues λ

REQUIRES k a positive integer

Eigenvectors unchanged. Apply A repeatedly to $\mathbf{v}$ and each pass multiplies by λ again. This is why $|\lambda| < 1$ means $A \rightarrow O$ and $|\lambda| > 1$ means it blows up — the whole of iterative stability sits in this line.

UNCONDITIONAL

v

Polynomials $q(A)$ has eigenvalues $q(\lambda)$

REQUIRES any polynomial q

Eigenvectors unchanged. Generalises the two entries above — powers and shifts are both special cases. It is also what makes the Cayley–Hamilton theorem believable: the characteristic polynomial sends every eigenvalue to zero.

UNCONDITIONAL

vi

Shift $A + cI$ has eigenvalues $\lambda + c$

REQUIRES any scalar c

Eigenvectors unchanged. Adding a multiple of the identity slides the whole spectrum along the number line, leaving the directions alone — which is what makes shifted power iteration and the shifted QR algorithm work.

UNCONDITIONAL

vii

Scalar multiple cA has eigenvalues $c\lambda$

REQUIRES any scalar c

Eigenvectors unchanged. Contrast the shift directly above: scaling stretches the spectrum about zero, shifting translates it. Neither disturbs the eigenvectors.

UNCONDITIONAL

CONDITIONAL

5

i

Trace $\text{tr}(A) = \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \dots + \lambda_n$

HOLDS WHEN eigenvalues counted with algebraic multiplicity, over $\mathbb{C}$

Spectral information read off the diagonal without solving anything. Over $\mathbb{R}$ the sum can appear to fail because some eigenvalues live in $\mathbb{C}$ — a rotation has trace $2 \cos \theta$ and no real eigenvalues at all. See **trace properties**.

CONDITIONAL

ii

Determinant $\det(A) = \lambda_1 \lambda_2 \dots \lambda_n$

HOLDS WHEN with algebraic multiplicity, over $\mathbb{C}$

The product rather than the sum. It gives the invertibility criterion directly: A is **invertible** exactly when no eigenvalue is zero, since a single zero factor kills the whole product.

CONDITIONAL

iii

Inverse A^{-1} has eigenvalues $1/\lambda$

HOLDS WHEN A invertible — no $\lambda = 0$

Eigenvectors unchanged. From $A\mathbf{v} = \lambda\mathbf{v}$, multiply both sides by A^{-1}/λ and the same $\mathbf{v}$ comes back with the reciprocal. The condition is not a technicality: a zero eigenvalue is precisely what makes the inverse fail to exist.

CONDITIONAL

viii

Transpose A^T has the same eigenvalues as A

HOLDS WHEN always for the eigenvalues

FAILS WHEN for the eigenvectors — generally different

COMMON ERROR assuming the eigenvectors carry over because the eigenvalues do

Same characteristic polynomial, since $\det(A^T - \lambda I) = \det(A - \lambda I)$. But the eigenvectors of A^T are the **left** eigenvectors of A , a different set. One of only two entries here where the spectrum survives and the directions do not.

WITNESS

$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$ — eigenvalues 1, 2

eigenvector for $\lambda=1$: $A \rightarrow (1, 0)$, $A^T \rightarrow (1, -1)$

EIGENVECTORS DIFFER

ix

Similarity $P^{-1}AP$ has the same eigenvalues, multiplicities included

HOLDS WHEN P invertible

Both algebraic and geometric multiplicities are preserved, so the entire spectral picture is basis-independent. The eigenvectors are not lost but relabelled: $\mathbf{v}$ becomes $P^{-1}\mathbf{v}$. That is the whole content of similarity — the same transformation, described from a different basis.

EIGENVECTORS MAP

The pattern: anything built from A by polynomials, inversion or shifting keeps the eigenvectors and transforms the eigenvalues by the same rule. Transposition and similarity are the exceptions — they preserve the spectrum but change or relabel the directions, which is exactly why **diagonalization** is a statement about a basis rather than about numbers.