

Six places a determinant does the work

Three of these produce a value and three produce a verdict. The split matters because the first group is almost never the fastest route to that value — while the second group has no competitor at all.

PRODUCING A VALUE — CORRECT, RARELY FASTEST

3

1	Cramer’s rule $\det(A) \neq 0$; A has column i replaced by b $x_i = \frac{\det(A_i)}{\det(A)}$	$x =$ Each component as a ratio of determinants. Costs $n+1$ determinants against one elimination, so it is a formula rather than a method — valuable because it exhibits the solution as an explicit function of the entries, which is what sensitivity analysis needs.
2	Adjugate inverse $\det(A) \neq 0$ $A^{-1} = \frac{1}{\det(A)} \text{adj}(A)$	$A^{-1} =$ $\text{adj}(A)$ Every entry of the inverse in closed form. Practical at 2×2 and defensible at 3×3 ; beyond that the cofactor count makes it unusable numerically. Its real work is theoretical — it proves the inverse entries are rational functions of A .
3	Structured determinants the matrix has exploitable structure	Vandermonde: $\prod (x_i - x_j)$ Closed forms that skip the computation entirely. The Vandermonde value is nonzero exactly when the nodes are distinct, which is the statement that polynomial interpolation through distinct points has a unique solution.

PRODUCING A VERDICT — NOTHING ELSE DOES IT AS WELL

3

4	Characteristic polynomial roots are the eigenvalues	$p(\lambda) = \det(A - \lambda I)$ The determinant is what converts “this homogeneous system has a nontrivial solution” into a polynomial equation in λ . Without it there is no equation to solve, which is why eigenvalue theory begins here rather than with eigenvectors.
5	Cross product $\mathbb{R}^3$ only $\mathbf{a} \times \mathbf{b}$	$\mathbf{a} \times \mathbf{b} = \det \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{i} & \mathbf{j} & \mathbf{k} \\ a_1 & a_2 & a_3 \\ b_1 & b_2 & b_3 \end{bmatrix}$ A mnemonic that is also an explanation. Expanding along the first row reproduces the component formula, and the determinant being zero when two rows match is exactly why $\mathbf{a} \times \mathbf{a} = \mathbf{0}$ — see cross product .
6	Wronskian $W \neq 0$ somewhere $\Rightarrow$ independent	$W(x) = \det[f_1(x) \dots f_n(x)]$ One-directional, and the direction matters. A nonzero value at a single point proves independence; $W \equiv 0$ proves nothing in general — x and $x x $ are independent with W identically zero. The converse holds only for solutions of one linear ODE.

Where a determinant computes something, elimination usually computes it faster; where a determinant decides something, nothing else decides it as cleanly. That is the honest summary of the determinant’s role: a poor algorithm and an excellent criterion.